

Mobile VS Traditional

Comparing/contrasting the steps in detecting credibility clues on a mobile device vs a laptop or desktop.

SOURCE	CREDIBILITY MARKERS	WEB	MOBILE	REFLECTION
STUDENTS CITE THE STORY HEADLINE AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION RETRIEVED FROM A TRADITIONAL DEVICE, SUCH AS A LAPTOP OR DESKTOP	STUDENTS LIST CLUES TO CREDIBILITY. (YOU MAY PROVIDE THESE). SOME EXAMPLES INCLUDE: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• DATE CONTENT WAS CREATED (WHICH MAY BE DIFFERENT FROM THE DATES IT WAS SHARED).• AUTHOR/CREATOR (WHICH MAY BE DIFFERENT FROM THE SOURCE WHO POSTED/SHARED IT).• AFFILIATIONS OF SOURCE.• PRESENCE OF EMOTIONAL TRIGGERS THROUGHOUT CONTENT.	HERE STUDENTS TRACE THE PATH THROUGH WHICH THEY LOCATED THE CREDIBILITY CLUES WHILE ACCESSING THE STORY ON THE WEB, LISTING SPECIFICALLY WHAT THEY CLICK ON TO DETERMINE WHEN A STORY WAS PUBLISHED, ETC.	HERE STUDENTS GO THROUGH THE SAME PROCESS AS IN THE PREVIOUS COLUMN, BUT WHEN USING A MOBILE DEVICE. OPTIONAL: HAVE STUDENTS HIGHLIGHT DIFFERENCES.	HERE STUDENTS REFLECT ON WHICH VERSION OF THE STORY THEY FOUND EASIER TO DISSECT FOR CREDIBILITY.
STUDENTS CITE THE STORY HEADLINE AND SOURCE OF INFORMATION RETRIEVED FROM A MOBILE DEVICE. BE SURE STUDENTS ALSO SHARE THE APP BEING USED.				

Mobile VS Traditional

Comparing/contrasting the steps in detecting credibility clues on a mobile device vs a laptop or desktop.

SOURCE	CREDIBILITY MARKERS	WEB	MOBILE	REFLECTION